

To: Jeremy Fisher[jfisher@synapse-energy.com]; Patrick Luckow[PLuckow@synapse-energy.com]; Nidhi R. Santen[nrsanten@synapse-energy.com]
Cc: Mulholland, Denise[Mulholland.Denise@epa.gov]
From: DeYoung, Robyn
Sent: Thur 6/18/2015 9:10:27 PM
Subject: RE: table of assumptions and different plans

Hi Jeremy,

Yes, the inputs, underlying assumptions, supplemental information and outputs of the modeling part of the demonstration.

From: Jeremy Fisher [mailto:jfisher@synapse-energy.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 5:08 PM
To: DeYoung, Robyn; Patrick Luckow; Nidhi R. Santen
Cc: Mulholland, Denise
Subject: RE: table of assumptions and different plans

And by “projected CO2 performance” here you mean is it part of the specific modeling (or non-modeling) demonstration done by the state?

From: DeYoung, Robyn [mailto:DeYoung.Robyn@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 4:57 PM
To: Jeremy Fisher; Patrick Luckow; Nidhi R. Santen
Cc: Mulholland, Denise
Subject: RE: table of assumptions and different plans

Hi Jeremy,

Thank you! This is good step in the right direction. I added the table columns that we'd like you to copy the data you pulled in the new table in worksheet 2. This move could easily be done by anyone in your shop, we'll need this by no later than 10am tomorrow.

We want to be able to sort for different state plans and what is relevant for just performance projections.

Thanks,

Robyn

From: Jeremy Fisher [<mailto:jfisher@synapse-energy.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 4:29 PM
To: DeYoung, Robyn; Patrick Luckow; Nidhi R. Santen
Cc: Mulholland, Denise
Subject: RE: table of assumptions and different plans
Importance: High

Robyn,

I went through the preamble for state plans as quickly as possible, and pulled every instance of where a specific item or discussion was required in a state plan. That table is attached here. I've differentiated rate/mass and emissions standard/state measures where it is delineated. The organization of the sections does not follow a consistent framework at the moment, so there are a number of places where not only is it duplicative, but somewhat ambiguous in terms of which types of plans require what. My marks in red are where I assume that it should say something explicitly, but does not. I couldn't find a reasonable way to make this into a four-by-four table, but we can certainly re-organize it.

Page numbers are relative to the page numbers in the 370 page document you sent me; I assumed that absolute references are changing based on our conversation this morning, but this should at least help identify where those statements exist.

I'll call you in 5 minutes

-Jeremy

From: DeYoung, Robyn [<mailto:DeYoung.Robyn@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 2:51 PM
To: Jeremy Fisher; Patrick Luckow; Nidhi R. Santen
Cc: Mulholland, Denise

Subject: table of assumptions and different plans

Hi Jeremy et al.

Just wanted to get a status check on the table of assumptions for individual state plans. Do you think we can get it today? Thanks.

Best,

Robyn (Kenney) DeYoung

202-343-9080

U.S. EPA

State and Local Climate and Energy Program

<http://epa.gov/statelocalclimate/>